

Homotopical Algebra

Solution Sketches for Exercise Set 2

2018-03-30

Exercises 1 & 2

Note that Hurewicz fibrations are precisely the class

$$\text{RLP} \left(\left\{ X \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_X \times 0} X \times I \mid X \in \mathbf{Top} \right\} \right).$$

and that Hurewicz cofibrations are precisely the class

$$\text{LLP} \left(\left\{ PY \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_0} Y \mid Y \in \mathbf{Top} \right\} \right).$$

Hence these exercises are special cases of Exercises 5(a) & 5(b) in Exercise Set 3 (and the proofs in the general case are easy generalizations of the proofs in these special cases), so we refer to the upcoming solution sketches for these exercises.

Exercise 3

Note that since $A \subseteq X$ is closed, $A \times Z \subseteq X \times Z$ is also closed, so we can use the retraction criterion discussed in the lecture. Now, given a retraction $r: X \times I \rightarrow (A \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\})$ witnessing the fact that $j: A \hookrightarrow X$ is a Hurewicz cofibration, we define

$$\begin{aligned} X \times Z \times I &\rightarrow (A \times Z \times I) \cup (X \times Z \times \{0\}) \\ (x, z, t) &\mapsto (\text{pr}_1(r(x, t)), z, \text{pr}_2(r(x, t))) \end{aligned}$$

which can be checked to be well-defined, continuous and a retraction of

$$(A \times Z \times I) \cup (X \times Z \times \{0\}) \subseteq X \times Z \times I.$$

Exercise 4

Let $X := \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$. Let $i: A_0 \hookrightarrow X$ be the inclusion. Since i is the inclusion of a closed subspace, it is enough to show that $(A_0 \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\}) \subset X \times I$ admits a retraction. We want to define such a map by defining continuous maps $r_n: A_n \times I \rightarrow A_0 \times I \cup X \times \{0\}$ and then “gluing” them, for which we need the following statements whose proofs crucially use the special property of the topology on X :¹

Lemma 1. *A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous iff $f|_{A_n}$ is continuous for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Note that

- $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous
- \Leftrightarrow For all closed $Z \subseteq Y$, $f^{-1}(Z) \subseteq X$ is closed.
- \Leftrightarrow For all closed $Z \subseteq Y$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f^{-1}(Z) \cap A_n \subseteq A_n$ is closed.
- \Leftrightarrow For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, for all closed $Z \subseteq Y$, $f^{-1}(Z) \cap A_n = f|_{A_n}^{-1}(Z) \subseteq A_n$ is closed.
- \Leftrightarrow For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $f|_{A_n}^{-1}: A_n \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.

□

Corollary 2. *Let Y be a topological space. A map $g: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ is continuous iff $g|_{A_n \times I}$ is continuous for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.*

Proof. Note that

- $g: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ is continuous
- $\Leftrightarrow \hat{g}: X \rightarrow PY$, $\hat{g}(x) := g(x, -)$ is continuous.
- \Leftrightarrow For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{g}_n := \hat{g}|_{A_n}: A_n \rightarrow PY$ is continuous.
- \Leftrightarrow For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{g}'_n: A_n \times I \rightarrow Y$, $\hat{g}'_n(x, t) := \hat{g}_n(x)(t) = g|_{A_n \times I}(x, t)$, is continuous.
- \Leftrightarrow For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $g|_{A_n \times I}$ is continuous.

□

Now since each inclusion $A_{n-1} \subseteq A_n$ is a Hurewicz cofibration, we have retractions

$$s'_n: A_n \times I \rightarrow (A_{n-1} \times I) \cup (A_n \times \{0\}).$$

Using the gluing lemma and the fact that s'_n is a retraction, one can show that this extends to a map

$$s_n: (A_n \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\}) \xrightarrow{s'_n \cup \text{Id}_{X \times \{0\}}} (A_{n-1} \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\}).$$

¹Since this is coming out so late, I may as well use the language of category theory to explain these statements: **Lemma 1** is saying that $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} A_n$ is the colimit of the diagram $(A_0 \hookrightarrow A_1 \hookrightarrow \dots \hookrightarrow A_n \hookrightarrow \dots)$ in **Top** and **Corollary 2** is a special case of the fact that $(-)\times I$ commutes with colimits because it is left adjoint to $P(-)$.

Next, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define the continuous map

$$r_n := (s_1 \circ \cdots \circ s_n)|_{A_n \times I} : A_n \times I \rightarrow (A_0 \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\}),$$

which is basically “applying s_i ’s until one lands in $(A_0 \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\})$ ”. Using the retraction properties of the s_i ’s one can check that $r_n|_{A_{n-1} \times I} = r_{n-1}$, so by [Corollary 2](#), these glue to a map $r: X \times I \rightarrow (A_0 \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\})$, which can, by observing that $r_0 = \text{Id}_{(A_0 \times I) \cup (X \times \{0\})}$, be shown to have the desired property.

Exercise 5

Remark 3. In the following, we omit the discussion of the continuity of the maps defined which follows from the “usual tricks” such as

- composing continuous maps,
- defining a map into a product space by defining continuous components,
- showing the continuity of the corresponding map $Z \times I \rightarrow W$ instead of a map $Z \rightarrow PW$,
- the gluing lemma.

Given a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, following the hint, we consider the factorization²

$$f: X \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_X \times c_{(-)}} P_f \xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2} Y.$$

It is not hard to show that the first map is a homotopy equivalence and the second map is a Hurewicz fibration, but unfortunately, showing that $j := \text{Id}_X \times c_{(-)}: X \rightarrow P_f$ is a Hurewicz cofibration doesn’t quite work. We need to “thicken up P_f ” and factor j further³ as

$$j: X \xrightarrow{\text{Id}_X \times c_{(-)} \times \{0\}} (j(X) \times \{0\}) \cup (P_f \times (0, 1]) \xrightarrow{\text{pr}_1} P_f$$

where we consider $E := (j(X) \times \{0\}) \cup (P_f \times (0, 1])$ as a subspace of $P_f \times I$.

Now we will show that the map

$$\begin{aligned} i: X &\xrightarrow{\text{Id}_X \times c_{(-)} \times \{0\}} E \\ x &\mapsto (x, c_x, 0) \end{aligned}$$

is an acyclic Hurewicz cofibration and that the map

$$\begin{aligned} q: E &\xrightarrow{\text{ev}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2 \circ \text{pr}_1} Y \\ (x, \lambda, t) &\mapsto \lambda(1) \end{aligned}$$

is a Hurewicz fibration which will yield the desired result since $f = q \circ i$.

²More precisely, $\text{Id}_X \times c_{(-)}$ and $\text{ev}_1 \circ \text{pr}_2$ are maps to resp. from $X \times PY$ and we consider their (co)restriction to P_f .

³See also <https://math.stackexchange.com/a/1179143> for a discussion of this.

We start by showing that q is a Hurewicz fibration. Let a lifting problem

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{g} & E \\ \text{Id}_A \times 0 \downarrow & \nearrow ? & \downarrow q \\ A \times I & \xrightarrow{H} & Y \end{array}$$

be given. Let $g_1: A \rightarrow X$, $g_2: A \rightarrow PY$, $g_3: A \rightarrow I$ be the components of g (after composing it with the inclusion $E \subseteq X \times PY \times I$). We will define a lift $\tilde{H}: A \times I \rightarrow E$ componentwise by analyzing the conditions the desired lifting properties enforce on it.

We set $\tilde{H}_1(a, s) := g_1(a)$ for $(a, s) \in A \times I$ which ensures that the upper triangle in the resulting diagram will commute in the first coordinate.

Next, we want to define $\tilde{H}_2: A \times I \rightarrow PY$ which is equivalent to defining a map $\Psi: A \times I \times I \rightarrow Y$. The commutativity of the upper triangle in the second coordinate will enforce that $\tilde{H}_2(a, 0)(t) = \Psi(a, 0, t) = g_2(a)(t)$ for all $a \in A$ and $t \in I$, whereas the commutativity of the lower triangle will mean that $\tilde{H}_2(a, s)(1) = \Psi(a, s, 1) = H(a, s)$ must hold for all $a \in A$ and $s \in I$. Moreover, the condition that the image of \tilde{H} must lie in $E \subseteq X \times PY \times I$ will require that $\tilde{H}_2(a, s)(0) = \Psi(a, s, 0) = f(\tilde{H}_1(a, s)) = f(g_1(a))$ for all $(a, s) \in A \times I$. Since we have $g_2(a)(1) = q(g(a)) = H(a, 0)$ and $g_2(a)(0) = f(g_1(a))$ for all $a \in A$, these conditions can be encoded in a map $\Psi': A \times ((\{0\} \times I) \cup (I \times \{0\}) \cup (I \times \{1\})) \rightarrow Y$ given by $\Psi'(a, 0, t) := g_2(a)(t)$, $\Psi'(a, s, 1) := H(a, s)$ and $\Psi'(a, s, 0) = f(g_1(a))$. Now, like in Exercise 3 of the previous set, one can find a retraction $r: A \times I \times I \rightarrow A \times ((\{0\} \times I) \cup (I \times \{0\}) \cup (I \times \{1\}))$ and define Ψ to be $\Psi' \circ r$.

Finally, we set $\tilde{H}_3(a, s) := s + (1 - s) \cdot g_3(a)$ for $(a, s) \in A \times I$ which ensures that the upper triangle will commute in the third coordinate and that the image of \tilde{H} will land in $E \subseteq X \times PY \times I$.

Now, using the properties indicated in the above paragraphs, it is a straightforward verification to check that \tilde{H} is indeed a lift with the desired properties.

Next, we show that $i: X \rightarrow E$ is a homotopy equivalence. Consider $h: E \rightarrow X$ given by $h(x, \lambda, t) := x$. Then we have $h \circ i = \text{Id}_X$. Moreover, $i \circ h$ is homotopic to Id_E via the homotopy $H: E \times I \rightarrow E$ given by $H(x, \lambda, s, t) := (x, \lambda(t \cdot (-)), t \cdot s)$.

To show that i is a cofibration, we will use an alternative description of closed Hurewicz cofibrations⁴ which is given by the following

Fact 4 ([Bre93, Theorem VII.1.5]). *Let $A \subseteq Z$ be a closed subspace. Then the inclusion $A \hookrightarrow Z$ is a Hurewicz cofibration if and only if there exists a neighborhood U of A and maps $\phi: Z \rightarrow I$, $H: U \times I \rightarrow Z$ such that*

- $A = \phi^{-1}(0)$,
- $\phi(Z \setminus U) = \{1\}$,

⁴One could check the retraction criterion for i by hand, but that would include some tedious continuity checks which are “blackboxed” in the proof of Fact 4 in our approach. Moreover, i is in a sense “engineered” to make this new criterion apply to it, so this approach also explains how one could come up with it.

- $H(a, t) = a$ for all $(a, t) \in A \times I$,
- $H(u, 0) = u$ and $H(u, 1) \in A$ for all $u \in U$.

We omit the proof which can be found in the reference. See also [Str] (and related notes) for a more “modern” approach with a similar criterion.

Now i is a homeomorphism into its image (whose inverse is given by $h|_{i(X)}$). Moreover, $U \subseteq E$, $\phi: E \rightarrow I$ and $H: U \times I \rightarrow E$ satisfying the conditions of Fact 4 for $Z = E$ and $A = i(X)$ can be defined as follows:

- $U := \{(x, \lambda, s) \in E \mid s < 1\}$,
- $\phi(x, \lambda, s) := s$ for all $(x, \lambda, s) \in E$,
- $H(x, \lambda, s, t) := (x, \lambda((1-t) \cdot (-)), (1-t) \cdot s)$ for all $(x, \lambda, s, t) \in U \times I$.

Thus i is indeed a cofibration.

References

- [Bre93] Glen E. Bredon. *Topology and geometry*. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1993. 4
- [Str] Neil P. Strickland. Fibrations and cofibrations. Available on <https://neil-strickland.staff.shef.ac.uk/courses/homotopy/>. 5