

PROBLEM 1. Let X_1, X_2, \dots be i.i.d. random variables with distribution $p(x)$ taking values in a finite set \mathcal{X} . Thus, $p(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n p(x_i)$.

(a) Use the law of large numbers to show that

$$-\frac{1}{n} \log p(X_1, \dots, X_n) \rightarrow H(X)$$

in probability.

Let $q(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^n q(x_i)$, where $q(x)$ is another probability distribution on \mathcal{X} .

(b) Evaluate

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} -\frac{1}{n} \log q(X_1, \dots, X_n).$$

(c) Now evaluate the limit of the log-likelihood-ratio

$$-\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{q(X_1, \dots, X_n)}{p(X_1, \dots, X_n)}.$$

PROBLEM 2. Assume $\{X_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ and $\{Y_n\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ are two i.i.d. processes (individually) with the same alphabet, with the same entropy rate $H(X_0) = H(Y_0) = 1$ and independent from each other. We construct two processes Z and W as follows:

- To construct the process Z , we flip a fair coin and depending on the result $\Theta \in \{0, 1\}$ we select one of the processes. In other words, $Z_n = \Theta X_n + (1 - \Theta) Y_n$.
- To construct the process W , we do the coin flip at every time n . In other words, at every time n we flip a coin and depending on the result $\Theta_n \in \{0, 1\}$ we select X_n or Y_n as follows $W_n = \Theta_n X_n + (1 - \Theta_n) Y_n$.

(a) Are Z and W stationary processes? Are they i.i.d. processes?

(b) Find the entropy rate of Z and W . How do they compare? When are they equal?

Recall that the entropy rate of the process U (if exists) is $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(U_1, \dots, U_n)$.

PROBLEM 3. Decode the string 10010011 that was encoded using the Lempel–Ziv algorithm with alphabet set $\mathcal{U} = \{a, l\}$.

PROBLEM 4. Let the alphabet be $\mathcal{X} = \{a, b\}$. Consider the infinite sequence $X_1^\infty = ababababababab \dots$.

(a) What is the compressibility of $\rho(X_1^\infty)$ using finite-state machines (FSM) as defined in class? Justify your answer.

(b) Design a specific FSM, call it M , with at most 4 states and as low a $\rho_M(X_1^\infty)$ as possible. What compressibility do you get?

- (c) Using only the result in point (a) but no specific calculations, what is the compressibility of X_1^∞ under the Lempel–Ziv algorithm, i.e., what is $\rho_{LZ}(X_1^\infty)$?
- (d) Re-derive your result from point (c) but this time by means of an explicit computation.

PROBLEM 5. We have shown in class that

$$\binom{n}{k} \leq 2^{nh_2\left(\frac{k}{n}\right)}.$$

- (a) Given $n \in \mathbb{N}_+$ and $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^K n_i = n$, we define the quantity
- $$\binom{n}{n_1 n_2 \dots n_K} = \frac{n!}{n_1! n_2! \dots n_K!}.$$
- Show that

$$\binom{n}{n_1 n_2 \dots n_K} \leq 2^{nh(p_1, p_2, \dots, p_K)},$$

where $p_i = \frac{n_i}{n}$ and $h(p_1, \dots, p_K) = -\sum_{i=1}^K p_i \log(p_i)$.

Let U_1, U_2, \dots be the letters generated by a memoryless source with alphabet $\mathcal{U} = \{u_1, u_2, \dots, u_K\}$, i.e., U_1, U_2, \dots are i.i.d. random variables taking values in the alphabet \mathcal{U} according to the distribution $q = \{q_1, q_2, \dots, q_K\}$.

- (b) We want to compress this source without any idea about its distribution. Describe an optimal universal code that achieves this goal. Give a proof of its optimality.
Hint: Use the same idea as for the binary source case.
- (c) What if the source is not i.i.d. Will your code still be optimal?