

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 14

Homework 6

Information Theory and Coding

Oct. 20, 2015

PROBLEM 1. Decode the string 10010011 that was encoded using the Lempel–Ziv algorithm with alphabet set $\mathcal{U} = \{a, l\}$.

PROBLEM 2. Let the alphabet be $\mathcal{X} = \{a, b\}$. Consider the infinite sequence $X_1^\infty = ababababababab \dots$.

- What is the compressibility of $\rho(X_1^\infty)$ using finite-state machines (FSM) as defined in class? Justify your answer.
- Design a specific FSM, call it M , with at most 4 states and as low a $\rho_M(X_1^\infty)$ as possible. What compressibility do you get?
- Using only the result in point (a) but no specific calculations, what is the compressibility of X_1^∞ under the Lempel–Ziv algorithm, i.e., what is $\rho_{LZ}(X_1^\infty)$?
- Re-derive your result from point (c) but this time by means of an explicit computation.

PROBLEM 3. From the notes on the Lempel–Ziv algorithm, we know that the maximum number of distinct words c a string of length n can be parsed into satisfies

$$n > c \log_K(c/K^3)$$

where K is the size of the alphabet the letters of the string belong to. This inequality lower bounds n in terms of c . We will now show that n can also be upper bounded in terms of c .

- Show that, if $n \geq \frac{1}{2}m(m-1)$, then $c \geq m$.
- Find a sequence for which the bound in (a) is met with equality.
- Show now that $n < \frac{1}{2}c(c+1)$.

PROBLEM 4. Let U_1, U_2, \dots be the letters generated by a memoryless source with alphabet \mathcal{U} , i.e., U_1, U_2, \dots are i.i.d. random variables taking values in the alphabet \mathcal{U} . Suppose the distribution p_U of the letters is known to be one of the two distributions, p_1 or p_2 . That is, either

- $\Pr(U_i = u) = p_1(u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $i \geq 1$, or
- $\Pr(U_i = u) = p_2(u)$ for all $u \in \mathcal{U}$ and $i \geq 1$.

Let $K = |\mathcal{U}|$ be the number of letters in the alphabet \mathcal{U} , let $H_1(U)$ denote the entropy of U under (i), and $H_2(U)$ denote the entropy of U under (ii). Let $p_{j,\min} = \min_{u \in \mathcal{U}} p_j(u)$ be the probability of the least likely letter under distribution p_j . For a word $w = u_1 u_2 \dots u_n$, let $p_j(w) = \prod_{i=1}^n p_j(u_i)$ be its probability under the distribution p_j , define $p_j(\text{empty string}) = 1$. Let $\hat{p}(w) = \max_{j=1,2} p_j(w)$.

- Given a positive integer α , let \mathcal{S} be a set of α words w with largest $\hat{p}(\cdot)$. Show that \mathcal{S} forms the intermediate nodes of a K -ary tree \mathcal{T} with $1 + (K-1)\alpha$ leaves.

Hint: if $w \in \mathcal{S}$ what can we say about its prefixes?

Let \mathcal{W} be the leaves of the tree \mathcal{T} , by part (a) they form a valid, prefix-free dictionary for the source. Let $H_1(W)$ and $H_2(W)$ be the entropy of the dictionary words under distributions p_1 and p_2 .

- (b) Let $Q = \min_{v \in \mathcal{S}} \hat{p}(v)$. Show that for any $w \in \mathcal{W}$, $\hat{p}(w) \leq Q$.
- (c) Show that for $j = 1, 2$, $H_j(W) \geq \log(1/Q)$.
- (d) Let \mathcal{W}_1 be the set of leaves w such that $p_1(\text{parent of } w) \geq p_2(\text{parent of } w)$. Show that $|\mathcal{W}_1|Qp_{1,\min} \leq 1$.
- (e) Show that $|\mathcal{W}| \leq \frac{1}{Q}(1/p_{1,\min} + 1/p_{2,\min})$.
- (f) Let $E_j[\text{length}(W)]$ denote the expected length of a dictionary word under distribution j . The variable-to-fixed-length code based on the dictionary constructed above emits

$$\rho_j = \frac{\lceil \log |\mathcal{W}| \rceil}{E_j[\text{length}(W)]} \quad \text{bits per source letter}$$

if the distribution of the source is p_j . Show that

$$\rho_j < H_j(U) + \frac{1 + \log(1/p_{1,\min} + 1/p_{2,\min})}{E_j[\text{length}(W)]}.$$

Hint: relate $\log |\mathcal{W}|$ to $H_j(W)$ and recall that $H_j(W) = H_j(U)E_j[\text{length}(W)]$.

- (g) Show that as α gets larger, this method compresses the source to its entropy for both the assumptions (i), (ii) given above.