

ÉCOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FÉDÉRALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 25

Information Theory and Coding

Solutions to homework 10

December 09, 2014

PROBLEM 1.

(a) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[U(1) \neq U^n | U^n = u^n] &= \mathbb{P}[U(1) \neq u^n | U^n = u^n] \stackrel{(*)}{=} \mathbb{P}[U(1) \neq u^n] \\ &= 1 - \mathbb{P}[U(1) = u^n] = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n \mathbb{P}[U(1)_i = u_i] = 1 - \prod_{i=1}^n p_U(u_i), \end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ follows from the independence of $U(1)$ and U^n .

(b) An encoding failure happens if and only if $U(m) \neq U^n$ for every $1 \leq m \leq M$. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”} | U^n = u^n] &= \mathbb{P}[U(m) \neq U^n, \forall 1 \leq m \leq M | U^n = u^n] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[U(m) \neq u^n, \forall 1 \leq m \leq M | U^n = u^n] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[U(m) \neq u^n, \forall 1 \leq m \leq M] \\ &= \prod_{m=1}^M \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n p_U(u_i) \right) = \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n p_U(u_i) \right)^M. \end{aligned}$$

(c) Note that if $u^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)$, then $\prod_{i=1}^n p_U(u_i) \geq 2^{-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}$, which implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”} | U^n = u^n] &= \left(1 - \prod_{i=1}^n p_U(u_i) \right)^M \leq (1 - 2^{-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)})^M \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \left(e^{-2^{-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}} \right)^M = e^{-M2^{-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}} = e^{-2^{nR-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}}, \end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ follows from the inequality $1 - x \leq e^{-x}$. Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”} | U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)] &= \frac{\mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”}, U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)]}{\mathbb{P}[U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)]} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{u^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)} \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”}, U^n = u^n]}{\mathbb{P}[U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)]} \\ &= \frac{\sum_{u^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)} \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”} | U^n = u^n] \mathbb{P}[U^n = u^n]}{\mathbb{P}[U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)]} \\ &\leq \frac{\sum_{u^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)} e^{-2^{nR-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}} \mathbb{P}[U^n = u^n]}{\mathbb{P}[U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)]} \\ &= e^{-2^{nR-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}} \frac{\sum_{u^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)} \mathbb{P}[U^n = u^n]}{\mathbb{P}[U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)]} \\ &= e^{-2^{nR-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}} \frac{\mathbb{P}[U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)]}{\mathbb{P}[U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)]} = e^{-2^{nR-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}}. \end{aligned}$$

(d) Assume that $R > H(U)$, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ such that $R > H(U) + \epsilon$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”}] &= \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”}, U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)] + \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”}, U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)^c] \\ &= \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”} | U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)] \mathbb{P}[U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)] + \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”}, U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)^c] \\ &\leq \mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”} | U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)] + \mathbb{P}[U^n \in \mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)^c] \\ &\leq e^{-2^{nR-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}} + \mathbb{P}_{U^n}(\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)^c).\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, $\mathbb{P}_{U^n}(\mathcal{T}_\epsilon^n(p_U)^c) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and $e^{-2^{nR-nH(U)(1+\epsilon)}} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ since $R > H(U) + \epsilon$. Therefore, if $R > H(U)$ then $\mathbb{P}[\text{“failure”}] \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

PROBLEM 2.

(a) For every $0 \leq p \leq 1$, define $\bar{p} := 1 - p$. We have:

$$h_2(\bar{p}) = -\bar{p} \log \bar{p} - p \log p = -p \log p - \bar{p} \log \bar{p} = h_2(p). \quad (1)$$

On the other hand, it is easy to check that for every $0 \leq p', p'' \leq 1$, we have:

$$\bar{p}' * p'' = p' * \bar{p}'' = \overline{p' * p''} \quad \text{and} \quad \bar{p}' * \bar{p}'' = p' * p''.$$

Now (1) implies that

$$h_2(\bar{p}' * p'') = h_2(p' * \bar{p}'') = h_2(\overline{p' * p''}) = h_2(p' * p''). \quad (2)$$

Let $p' = \mathbb{P}[X_1 = 1]$ and $p'' = \mathbb{P}[X_2 = 1]$. We have the following:

- $\mathbb{P}[X_1 \oplus X_2 = 1] = \mathbb{P}[X_1 = 1] \mathbb{P}[X_2 = 0] + \mathbb{P}[X_1 = 0] \mathbb{P}[X_2 = 1] = p' \bar{p}'' + \bar{p}' p'' = p' * p''$. Therefore, $H(X_1 * X_2) = h_2(p' * p'')$.
- Since $H(X_1) = h_2(p_1)$, then we have either $p' = p_1$ or $p' = 1 - p_1$. I.e., we have $p_1 = p'$ or $p_1 = 1 - p' = \bar{p}'$.
- Since $H(X_2) = h_2(p_2)$, then we have either $p'' = p_2$ or $p'' = 1 - p_2$. I.e., we have $p_2 = p''$ or $p_2 = 1 - p'' = \bar{p}''$.

Now (2) implies that $H(X_1 \oplus X_2) = h_2(p' * p'') = h_2(p_1 * p_2)$.

(b) We have $H(X_1|Y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} H(X_1|Y=y) \mathbb{P}_Y(y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h_2(p_1(y)) q(y)$.

Now for every $y \in \mathcal{Y}$, X_1 and X_2 are independent conditioned on $Y = y$. Moreover, $H(X_1|Y=y) = h_2(p_1(y))$ and $H(X_2|Y=y) = H(X_2) = h_2(p_2)$ since X_2 and Y are independent. Therefore, Part (a) implies that $H(X_1 \oplus X_2|Y=y) = h_2(p_1(y) * p_2)$.

We conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}H(X_1 \oplus X_2|Y) &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} H(X_1 \oplus X_2|Y=y) \mathbb{P}_Y(y) \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h_2(p_1(y) * p_2) q(y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h_2(p_2 * p_1(y)) q(y).\end{aligned}$$

(c) Note that $p_2 * p = p(1 - p_2) + p_2(1 - p) = \beta p + p_2$, where $\beta = 1 - 2p_2 \geq 0$. Let $g(p) = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial p} h_2(p_2 * p)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial p} h_2(p)} = \frac{\frac{\partial}{\partial p} h_2(\beta p + p_2)}{\frac{\partial}{\partial p} h_2(p)} = \frac{\beta h_2'(\beta p + p_2)}{h_2'(p)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}g'(p) &= \frac{\beta^2 h_2''(\beta p + p_2) h_2'(p) - \beta h_2''(p) h_2'(\beta p + p_2)}{h_2'(p)^2} \\ &= \frac{\beta h_2''(\beta p + p_2) h_2''(p)}{h_2'(p)^2} \left[\beta \frac{h_2'(p)}{h_2''(p)} - \frac{h_2'(\beta p + p_2)}{h_2''(\beta p + p_2)} \right].\end{aligned}$$

Note that $h'_2(p) = \log \frac{1-p}{p}$ and $h''_2(p) = \frac{-1}{p(1-p)\ln 2}$, which implies that $h''_2(\beta p + p_2) \leq 0$ and $h''_2(p) \leq 0$. Therefore, $\frac{\beta h''_2(\beta p + p_2) h'_2(p)}{h'_2(p)^2} \geq 0$ and so it is sufficient to show that we have $\beta \frac{h'_2(p)}{h''_2(p)} - \frac{h'_2(\beta p + p_2)}{h''_2(\beta p + p_2)} \geq 0$. Now define $\alpha = 1 - 2p$. It is easy to check the following:

- $p = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha)$.
- $1 - p = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha)$.
- $\beta p + p_2 = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \alpha\beta)$.
- $1 - (\beta p + p_2) = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \alpha\beta)$.

Therefore, we have

$$\beta \frac{h'_2(p)}{h''_2(p)} = -\beta(\ln 2)p(1-p) \log \frac{1-p}{p} = -\frac{\beta \ln 2}{4}(1 - \alpha^2) \log \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \alpha},$$

and

$$\frac{h'_2(\beta p + p_2)}{h''_2(\beta p + p_2)} = -(\ln 2)(\beta p + p_2)(1 - \beta p - p_2) \log \frac{1 - \beta p - p_2}{\beta p + p_2} = -\frac{\ln 2}{4}(1 - (\alpha\beta)^2) \log \frac{1 + \alpha\beta}{1 - \alpha\beta}.$$

Using the formula $\log(1 + x) = \sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{x^k}{k}$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \log \frac{1+x}{1-x} &= \log(1+x) - \log(1-x) = \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{x^k}{k} \right) - \left(\sum_{k \geq 1} (-1)^{k-1} \frac{(-x)^k}{k} \right) \\ &= \sum_{k \geq 1} ((-1)^{k-1} + 1) \frac{x^k}{k} = 2 \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \frac{x^k}{k}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} -(1-x^2) \log \frac{1+x}{1-x} &= -2 \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \frac{x^k}{k} + 2 \sum_{\substack{k \geq 1 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \frac{x^{k+2}}{k} = -2x - 2 \sum_{\substack{k \geq 3 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \frac{x^k}{k} + 2 \sum_{\substack{k \geq 3 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \frac{x^k}{k-2} \\ &= -2x + 2 \sum_{\substack{k \geq 3 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \left(\frac{1}{k-2} - \frac{1}{k} \right) x^k. \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \beta \frac{h'_2(p)}{h''_2(p)} &= -\frac{\beta \ln 2}{4}(1 - \alpha^2) \log \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \alpha} = \frac{\beta \ln 2}{4} \left[-2\alpha + 2 \sum_{\substack{k \geq 3 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \left(\frac{1}{k-2} - \frac{1}{k} \right) \alpha^k \right] \\ &= -\frac{\alpha\beta \ln 2}{2} + \frac{\ln 2}{2} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 3 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \left(\frac{1}{k-2} - \frac{1}{k} \right) \beta \alpha^k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h'_2(\beta p + p_2)}{h''_2(\beta p + p_2)} &= -\frac{\ln 2}{4}(1 - (\alpha\beta)^2) \log \frac{1 + \alpha\beta}{1 - \alpha\beta} = \frac{\ln 2}{4} \left[-2\alpha\beta + 2 \sum_{\substack{k \geq 3 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \left(\frac{1}{k-2} - \frac{1}{k} \right) (\alpha\beta)^k \right] \\ &= -\frac{\alpha\beta \ln 2}{2} + \frac{\ln 2}{2} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 3 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \left(\frac{1}{k-2} - \frac{1}{k} \right) \beta^k \alpha^k. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude that

$$\beta \frac{h'_2(p)}{h''_2(p)} - \frac{h'_2(\beta p + p_2)}{h''_2(\beta p + p_2)} = \frac{\ln 2}{2} \sum_{\substack{k \geq 3 \\ k \text{ is odd}}} \left(\frac{1}{k-2} - \frac{1}{k} \right) (\beta - \beta^k) \alpha^k \stackrel{(*)}{\geq} 0,$$

where (*) follows from the fact that $\beta = 1 - 2p_2 \leq 1$ which implies that $\beta^k \leq \beta$. Therefore, $g'(p) \geq 0$ and so $g(p)$ is increasing. We conclude that the function f is convex.

(d) We have

$$\begin{aligned} H(X_1 \oplus X_2 | Y) &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h_2(p_2 * p_1(y)) q(y) = \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} h_2(p_2 * h_2^{-1}(H(X_1 | Y = y))) q(y) \\ &= \sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} f(H(X_1 | Y = y)) q(y) \stackrel{(*)}{\geq} f\left(\sum_{y \in \mathcal{Y}} H(X_1 | Y = y) q(y) \right) \\ &= f(H(X_1 | Y)) = h_2(p_2 * h_2^{-1}(H(X_1 | Y))) = h_2(p_2 * p_1) = h_2(p_1 * p_2), \end{aligned}$$

where (*) follows from the convexity of the function f .

(e) For every $y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1$, let $0 \leq p_1(y_1) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ be such that $H(X_1 | Y_1 = y_1) = h_2(p_1(y_1))$ and let $q_1(y_1) = \mathbb{P}_{Y_1}(y_1)$. Similarly, for every $y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2$, let $0 \leq p_2(y_2) \leq \frac{1}{2}$ be such that $H(X_2 | Y_2 = y_2) = h_2(p_2(y_2))$ and let $q_2(y_2) = \mathbb{P}_{Y_2}(y_2)$. For every $y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1$, define the mapping $f_{y_1} : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ as $f_{y_1}(h) = h_2(p_1(y_1) * h_2^{-1}(h))$. Part (c) implies that f_{y_1} is convex for every $y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} H(X_1 \oplus X_2 | Y_1, Y_2) &= \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} \sum_{y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2} h_2(p_1(y_1) * p_2(y_2)) \mathbb{P}_{Y_1, Y_2}(y_1, y_2) \\ &= \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} \sum_{y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2} h_2(p_1(y_1) * p_2(y_2)) q_1(y_1) q_2(y_2) \\ &= \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} q_1(y_1) \sum_{y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2} h_2(p_1(y_1) * h_2^{-1}(H(X_2 | Y_2 = y_2))) q_2(y_2) \\ &= \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} q_1(y_1) \sum_{y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2} f_{y_1}(H(X_2 | Y_2 = y_2)) q_2(y_2) \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{\geq} \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} q_1(y_1) f_{y_1}\left(\sum_{y_2 \in \mathcal{Y}_2} H(X_2 | Y_2 = y_2) q_2(y_2) \right) \\ &= \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} q_1(y_1) f_{y_1}(H(X_2 | Y_2)) = \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} q_1(y_1) h_2(p_1(y_1) * h_2^{-1}(H(X_2 | Y_2))) \\ &= \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} q_1(y_1) h_2(p_1(y_1) * p_2) = \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} h_2(p_2 * h_2^{-1}(H(X_1 | Y_1 = y_1))) q_1(y_1) \\ &= \sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} f(H(X_1 | Y_1 = y_1)) q_1(y_1) \stackrel{(**)}{\geq} f\left(\sum_{y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1} H(X_1 | Y_1 = y_1) q_1(y_1) \right) \\ &= f(H(X_1 | Y_1)) = h_2(p_2 * h_2^{-1}(H(X_1 | Y_1))) = h_2(p_2 * p_1) = h_2(p_1 * p_2), \end{aligned}$$

where (*) follows from the convexity of the functions $\{f_{y_1} : y_1 \in \mathcal{Y}_1\}$ and (**) follows from the convexity of f .

PROBLEM 3.

- (a) Since $u^n \in \mathcal{T}_\delta^n(p_U)$, we have $n\mathbb{P}_U(a)(1 - \delta) \leq n_a(u^n) \leq n\mathbb{P}_U(a)(1 + \delta)$. Therefore, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} n_{a,b}(u^n, v^n) &\leq n_a(u^n)\mathbb{P}_{V|U}(b|a)(1 + \delta) \leq n\mathbb{P}_U(a)(1 + \delta)\mathbb{P}_{V|U}(b|a)(1 + \delta) \\ &= n\mathbb{P}_{U,V}(a, b)(1 + 2\delta + \delta^2) \leq n\mathbb{P}_{U,V}(a, b)(1 + 3\delta), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} n_{a,b}(u^n, v^n) &\geq n_a(u^n)\mathbb{P}_{V|U}(b|a)(1 - \delta) \geq n\mathbb{P}_U(a)(1 - \delta)\mathbb{P}_{V|U}(b|a)(1 - \delta) \\ &= n\mathbb{P}_{U,V}(a, b)(1 - 2\delta + \delta^2) \geq n\mathbb{P}_{U,V}(a, b)(1 - 3\delta). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $(u^n, v^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{3\delta}^n(p_{U,V})$.

- (b) For every $a \in \mathcal{U}$, let v_a^n be the subsequence of v^n corresponding to the indices $1 \leq i \leq n$ where $u_i = a$. Note that the size of the sequence v_a^n is $n_a(u^n)$ (i.e., $v_a^n \in \mathcal{V}^{n_a(u^n)}$). Moreover, for every $b \in \mathcal{V}$ we have $n_b(v_a^n) = n_{a,b}(u^n, v^n)$. Therefore, the condition

$$n_a(u^n)\mathbb{P}_{V|U}(b|a)(1 - \delta) \leq n_{a,b}(u^n, v^n) \leq n_a(u^n)\mathbb{P}_{V|U}(b|a)(1 + \delta) \quad \forall a \in \mathcal{U}, \forall b \in \mathcal{V} \quad (3)$$

is equivalent to the condition “ $v_a^n \in \mathcal{T}_\delta^{n_a(u^n)}(p_{V|U=a})$ for every $a \in \mathcal{V}$ ”. Now since $|\mathcal{T}_\delta^{n_a(u^n)}(p_{V|U=a})| \geq (1 - \delta)2^{n_a(u^n)H(V|U=a)(1-\delta)}$ for every $a \in \mathcal{U}$, and since the correspondence $v^n \leftrightarrow (v_a^n)_{a \in \mathcal{U}}$ is a one-to-one correspondence, we conclude that there are at least $\prod_{a \in \mathcal{U}} \left[(1 - \delta)2^{n_a(u^n)H(V|U=a)(1-\delta)} \right]$ sequences $v^n \in \mathcal{V}^n$ satisfying (3).

- (c) Part (b) shows that there are at least $\prod_{a \in \mathcal{U}} \left[(1 - \delta)2^{n_a(u^n)H(V|U=a)(1-\delta)} \right]$ sequences $v^n \in \mathcal{V}^n$ satisfying (3). We have

$$\begin{aligned} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{U}} \left[(1 - \delta)2^{n_a(u^n)H(V|U=a)(1-\delta)} \right] &= (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} \prod_{a \in \mathcal{U}} 2^{n_a(u^n)H(V|U=a)(1-\delta)} \\ &= (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{U}} n_a(u^n)H(V|U=a)(1-\delta)} \\ &\geq (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{\sum_{a \in \mathcal{U}} n\mathbb{P}_U(a)(1-\delta)H(V|U=a)(1-\delta)} \\ &= (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{n(1-\delta)^2 \sum_{a \in \mathcal{U}} \mathbb{P}_U(a)H(V|U=a)} \\ &= (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{n(1-2\delta+\delta^2)H(V|U)} \\ &\geq (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{n(1-2\delta)H(V|U)}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, there are at least $(1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{nH(V|U)(1-2\delta)}$ sequences $v^n \in \mathcal{V}^n$ satisfying (3). On the other hand, Part (a) shows that the condition (3) implies that $(u^n, v^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{3\delta}^n(p_{U,V})$. We conclude that there are at least $(1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{nH(V|U)(1-2\delta)}$ sequences $v^n \in \mathcal{V}^n$ satisfying $(u^n, v^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{3\delta}^n(p_{U,V})$.

- (d) If $(u^n, v^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{3\delta}^n(p_{U,V})$ then $v^n \in \mathcal{T}_{3\delta}^n(p_V)$ which implies that $\mathbb{P}_{V^n}(v^n) \geq 2^{-nH(V)(1+3\delta)}$.

(e) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbb{P}[(u^n, V^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{3\delta}^n(p_{U,V})] &= \sum_{\substack{v^n \in \mathcal{V}^n \\ (u^n, v^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{3\delta}^n(p_{U,V})}} \mathbb{P}_{V^n}(v^n) \stackrel{(*)}{\geq} \sum_{\substack{v^n \in \mathcal{V}^n \\ (u^n, v^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{3\delta}^n(p_{U,V})}} 2^{-nH(V)(1+3\delta)} \\
&= |\{v^n \in \mathcal{V}^n : (u^n, v^n) \in \mathcal{T}_{3\delta}^n(p_{U,V})\}| \cdot 2^{-nH(V)(1+3\delta)} \\
&\stackrel{(**)}{\geq} (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{nH(V|U)(1-2\delta)} 2^{-nH(V)(1+3\delta)} \\
&= (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{nH(V|U) - 2\delta H(V|U) - nH(V) - 3\delta H(V)} \\
&\stackrel{(***)}{\geq} (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{-nI(U;V) - 2\delta \log |\mathcal{V}| - 3\delta \log |\mathcal{V}|} \\
&= (1 - \delta)^{|\mathcal{U}|} 2^{-n[I(U;V) + 5\delta \log |\mathcal{V}|]},
\end{aligned}$$

where $(*)$ follows from Part (d), $(**)$ follows from Part (c) and $(***)$ follows from the fact that $I(U; V) = H(V) - H(V|U)$ and $H(V|U) \leq H(V) \leq \log |\mathcal{V}|$.