

PROBLEM 1.

- (a) Since the X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d., so are $p(X_1), p(X_2), \dots, p(X_n)$, and hence we can apply the law of large numbers to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim -\frac{1}{n} \log p(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \lim -\frac{1}{n} \sum \log p(X_i) \\ &= -E[\log p(X)] \\ &= -\sum p(x) \log p(x) \\ &= H(X). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) Since the X_1, \dots, X_n are i.i.d., so are $q(X_1), q(X_2), \dots, q(X_n)$, and hence we can apply the law of large numbers to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \lim -\frac{1}{n} \log q(X_1, \dots, X_n) &= \lim -\frac{1}{n} \sum \log q(X_i) \\ &= -E[\log q(X)] \\ &= -\sum p(x) \log q(x) \\ &= \sum p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} - \sum p(x) \log p(x) \\ &= D(p||q) + H(X). \end{aligned}$$

- (c) Again, by the law of large numbers,

$$\begin{aligned} \lim -\frac{1}{n} \log \frac{q(X_1, \dots, X_n)}{p(X_1, \dots, X_n)} &= \lim -\frac{1}{n} \sum \log \frac{q(X_i)}{p(X_i)} \\ &= -E\left[\log \frac{q(X)}{p(X)}\right] \\ &= -\sum p(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \\ &= \sum p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} \\ &= D(p||q). \end{aligned}$$

PROBLEM 2.

- (a) It is easy to check that W is an i.i.d. process but Z is not. As W is i.i.d. it is also stationary. We want to show that Z is also stationary. To show this, it is sufficient

to prove that the distribution of the process does not change by shift in the time domain.

$$\begin{aligned}
& p_Z(Z_m = a_m, Z_{m+1} = a_{m+1}, \dots, Z_{m+r} = a_{m+r}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} p_X(X_m = a_m, X_{m+1} = a_{m+1}, \dots, X_{m+r} = a_{m+r}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} p_Y(Y_m = a_m, Y_{m+1} = a_{m+1}, \dots, Y_{m+r} = a_{m+r}) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} p_X(X_{m+s} = a_m, X_{m+s+1} = a_{m+1}, \dots, X_{m+s+r} = a_{m+r}) \\
&+ \frac{1}{2} p_Y(Y_{m+s} = a_m, Y_{m+s+1} = a_{m+1}, \dots, Y_{m+s+r} = a_{m+r}) \\
&= p_Z(Z_{m+s} = a_m, Z_{m+s+1} = a_{m+1}, \dots, Z_{m+s+r} = a_{m+r}),
\end{aligned}$$

where we used the stationarity of the X and Y processes. This shows the invariance of the distribution with respect to the arbitrary shift s in time which implies stationarity.

(b) For the Z process we have

$$\begin{aligned}
H(Z) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} H(Z_1, \dots, Z_n) \\
&= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(Z_1, \dots, Z_n \mid \Theta) \\
&= \frac{1}{2} H(X_0) + \frac{1}{2} H(Y_0) = 1.
\end{aligned}$$

W process is an i.i.d process with the distribution $p_W(a) = \frac{1}{2} p_X(a) + \frac{1}{2} p_Y(a)$. From concavity of the entropy, it is easy to see that $H(W) = H(W_0) \geq \frac{1}{2} H(X_0) + \frac{1}{2} H(Y_0) = 1$. Hence, the entropy rate of W is greater than the entropy rate of Z and the equality holds if and only if X_0 and Y_0 have the same probability distribution function.

PROBLEM 3. Upon noticing $0.9^6 > 0.1$, we obtain $\{1, 01, 001, 0001, 00001, 000001, 0000001, 0000000\}$ as the dictionary entries.

PROBLEM 4. Since the words of a valid and prefix condition dictionary reside in the leaves of a full tree, the Kraft inequality must be satisfied with equality: Consider climbing up the tree starting from the root, choosing one of the D branches that climb up from a node with equal probability. The probability of reaching a leaf at depth l_i is then D^{-l_i} . Since the climbing process will certainly end in a leaf, we have

$$1 = \Pr(\text{ending in a leaf}) = \sum_i D^{-l_i}.$$

If the dictionary is valid but not prefix-free, by removing all words that already have a prefix in the dictionary we would obtain a valid prefix-free dictionary. Since this reduced dictionary would satisfy the Kraft inequality with equality, the extra words would cause the inequality to be violated.

PROBLEM 5.

(a) Let I be the set of intermediate nodes (including the root), let N be the set of nodes except the root and let L be the set of all leaves. For each $n \in L$ define $A(n) = \{m \in N : m \text{ is an ancestor of } n\}$ and for each $m \in N$ define $D(m) = \{n \in$

$L : n$ is a descendant of m }. We assume each leaf is an ancestor and a descendant of itself. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E[\text{distance to a leaf}] &= \sum_{n \in L} P(n) \sum_{m \in A(n)} d(m) \\ &= \sum_{m \in N} d(m) \sum_{n \in D(m)} P(n) = \sum_{m \in N} P(m)d(m). \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $d(n) = -\log Q(n)$. We see that $-\log P(n_j)$ is the distance associated with a leaf. From part (a),

$$\begin{aligned} H(\text{leaves}) &= E[\text{distance to a leaf}] \\ &= \sum_{n \in N} P(n)d(n) \\ &= -\sum_{n \in N} P(n) \log Q(n) \\ &= -\sum_{n \in N} P(\text{parent of } n)Q(n) \log Q(n) \\ &= -\sum_{m \in I} P(m) \sum_{n: n \text{ is a child of } m} Q(n) \log Q(n) \\ &= \sum_{m \in I} P(m)H_{m'} \end{aligned}$$

(c) Since all the intermediate nodes of a valid and prefix condition dictionary have the same number of children with the same set of Q_n , each $H_n = H$. Thus $H(\text{leaves}) = H \sum_{n \in I} P(n) = HE[L]$.

PROBLEM 6.

(a) Assume that p and q are two distributions on the same alphabet \mathcal{X} . We have:

$$\begin{aligned} -D(p||q) &= -\sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} p(x) \log \frac{q(x)}{p(x)} \stackrel{(*)}{\leq} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} \frac{p(x)}{\ln 2} \left(\frac{q(x)}{p(x)} - 1 \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{\ln 2} \sum_{x \in \mathcal{X}} (p(x) - q(x)) = \frac{1}{\ln 2} (1 - 1) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $D(p||q) \geq 0$. Notice that $D(p||q) = 0$ if and only if $\ln \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} = \frac{p(x)}{q(x)} - 1$ for every $x \in \mathcal{X}$ satisfying $p(x) > 0$ (see inequality $(*)$). But $\ln z = z - 1$ if and only if $z = 1$. Therefore, $D(p||q) = 0$ if and only if $p(x) = q(x)$ whenever $p(x) > 0$. On the other hand, it is easy to see that the condition “ $p(x) = q(x)$ whenever $p(x) > 0$ ” is equivalent to $p = q$. We conclude that $D(p||q) = 0$ if and only if $p = q$.

(b) Let $\alpha = p(1)$, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D(p||q) &= \alpha \log \frac{\alpha}{\frac{1}{2}} + (1 - \alpha) \log \frac{1 - \alpha}{\frac{1}{2}} \\ &= \alpha \log \alpha + \alpha \log 2 + (1 - \alpha) \log(1 - \alpha) + (1 - \alpha) \log 2 \\ &= 1 - h_2(\alpha), \end{aligned}$$

where $h_2(\alpha) = \alpha \log \frac{1}{\alpha} + (1 - \alpha) \log \frac{1}{1-\alpha}$. On the other hand, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} D(q||p) &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{\frac{1}{2}}{1-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{\alpha} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{1-\alpha} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\alpha)} - 1. \end{aligned}$$

By taking $\alpha = \frac{1}{4}$, we obtain $D(p||q) \neq D(q||p)$. Therefore, $D(p||q)$ is not necessarily equal to $D(q||p)$ in general.

(d) We have:

$$\begin{aligned} I(U; V) &= H(U) - H(U|V) = E \left[\log \frac{1}{P_U(U)} \right] - E \left[\log \frac{1}{P_{U|V}(U|V)} \right] \\ &= E \left[\log \frac{P_{U|V}(U|V)}{P_U(U)} \right] = E \left[\log \frac{P_{U,V}(U, V)}{P_U(U) \cdot P_V(V)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{u \in \mathcal{U}, v \in \mathcal{V}} P_{U,V}(u, v) \log \frac{P_{U,V}(u, v)}{P_U(u) \cdot P_V(v)} = D(P_{U,V} || P_U \cdot P_V). \end{aligned}$$