

## Solution to Problem Set 2

Date: 27.02.2014

Not graded

**Problem 1.** We will show a constructive procedure to obtain a path from  $u$  to  $v$ , given a walk from  $u$  to  $v$ . Let  $V_{\text{rep}} \subset V(G)$  be the set of vertices that appear in the walk at least two times. If  $V_{\text{rep}} = \emptyset$ , then each vertex appears in the walk at most once, which means that the walk is already a path. Suppose  $V_{\text{rep}} \neq \emptyset$  and pick  $\bar{v} \in V_{\text{rep}}$ . Remove from the walk all the vertices and edges which appear between the first and the last occurrence of  $\bar{v}$ , including the first occurrence of  $\bar{v}$ . In this way, we obtain a walk in which the vertex  $\bar{v}$  appears only once. Repeat the same procedure for all the elements of  $V_{\text{rep}}$  to obtain a path from  $u$  to  $v$ .

**Problem 2.** The proof is by induction on the length  $k$  of the walk.

*Base step:*  $k = 1$ . The number of walks from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  is 1 if the two vertices are connected, and 0 otherwise. The  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $A$  is 1 if  $v_i$  and  $v_j$  are connected, and 0 otherwise. This suffices to prove the claim for  $k = 1$ .

*Induction step.* A walk from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  of length  $k$  is formed by a walk from  $v_i$  to  $v_m$  of length  $k - 1$  and by a walk from  $v_m$  to  $v_j$  of length 1 for some  $v_m \in V$ . Then,

$$\# \text{ walks from } v_i \text{ to } v_j = \sum_{v_m \in V} (\# \text{ walks from } v_i \text{ to } v_m) \cdot (\# \text{ walks from } v_m \text{ to } v_j).$$

By the induction hypothesis, the number of walks from  $v_i$  to  $v_m$  of length  $k - 1$  is the  $(i, m)$ -th entry of  $A^{k-1}$ , namely  $a_{i,m}^{(k-1)}$ , and the number of walks from  $v_m$  to  $v_j$  of length 1 is the  $(m, j)$ -th entry of  $A$ , namely  $a_{m,j}^{(1)}$ . Hence, the number of walks from  $v_i$  to  $v_j$  of length  $k$  is  $\sum_m a_{i,m}^{(k-1)} \cdot a_{m,j}^{(1)} = a_{i,j}^{(k)}$ , which is the  $(i, j)$ -th entry of  $A^k$ .

**Problem 3.** Suppose that the thesis is false, i.e., there exists two longest paths  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  which do not share any vertex. Denote by  $L$  the length of these longest paths and let  $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_L$  and  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_L$  be the vertices of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , respectively. First, note that there exists a path  $\bar{P}$  from one vertex of  $P_1$ , call it  $u_i$ , to one vertex of  $P_2$ , call it  $v_j$ , which does not contain any other vertex of  $P_1$  or  $P_2$ . To see this, let us consider a path from  $u_1$  to  $v_1$ . Such a path exists because the graph is connected. If this path does not contain any other vertex of  $P_1$  or  $P_2$ , we are done. Otherwise, starting from  $u_1$  toward  $v_1$ , let  $u_i$  and  $v_j$  be the last vertex of  $P_1$  and  $P_2$ , respectively, met on that path.

Suppose that  $i \geq L/2$  and  $j \geq L/2$ . Consider the path  $P_{\text{max}}$  which goes from  $u_1$  to  $v_1$  and is formed by the following three parts:

1. the part of  $P_1$  which goes from  $u_1$  to  $u_i$ ;
2. the path  $\bar{P}$ ;
3. the part of  $P_2$  which goes from  $v_j$  to  $v_1$ .

The length of  $P_{\text{max}}$  is at least  $L/2 + 1 + L/2 = L + 1$ , contradicting the fact that the longest path has length  $L$ . If  $i < L/2$ , replace  $u_1$  with  $u_L$  in the previous construction and, if  $j < L/2$ , replace  $v_1$  with  $v_L$ .

**Problem 4.** We will use induction on the number of vertices in the graph. Clearly the statement holds for  $n = 2$ . Assume the statement is true for a tournament with  $n$  vertices and consider a tournament on

$n + 1$  vertices. Let  $G'$  be the graph we get from  $G$  by taking out one of the vertices, say,  $v_{k+1}$  (and all of its adjacent edges). Clearly,  $G'$  is also a tournament and by the induction hypothesis, it has a directed Hamiltonian path, say  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_k$ . Now look at  $G$ : if there is an edge directed from  $v_{k+1}$  to  $v_1$  or from  $v_k$  to  $v_{k+1}$  we are done (just extend the path in  $G'$  to a path in  $G$ ). Otherwise, there is an edge from  $v_1$  to  $v_{k+1}$  and from  $v_{k+1}$  to  $v_k$ . There are three possibilities:

(a) all edges from  $v_{k+1}$  to  $v_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq k - 1$  are directed from  $v_{k+1}$  to  $v_i$ ; then the Hamilton path is  $v_1 \rightarrow v_{k+1} \rightarrow v_2 \dots v_k$ ;

(b) all edges from  $v_{k+1}$  to  $v_i$ ,  $2 \leq i \leq k - 1$  are directed from  $v_i$  to  $v_{k+1}$ ; then the Hamilton path is  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \dots v_{k-1} \rightarrow v_{k+1} \rightarrow v_k$ ;

(c) let  $1 \leq i \leq k - 1$  be the smallest index such that there is an edge directed from  $v_i$  to  $v_{k+1}$  and an edge directed from  $v_{k+1}$  to  $v_{i+1}$ . (There must be such an  $i$  otherwise we would be in case (a) or (b)). Then in the path in  $G'$ , replace  $(v_i \rightarrow v_{i+1})$  by  $v_i \rightarrow v_{k+1} \rightarrow v_{i+1}$  to get a Hamiltonian path in  $G$ .

**Problem 5.** Let  $L = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_l\}$  be the longest path in  $G$  (in the given order). First we show that  $l \geq k + 1$ . If  $l \leq k$  then consider all the neighbors of the vertex  $v_l$ . By assumption,  $v_l$  is of degree  $k$  or larger. This means that  $v_l$  has a neighbor other than  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{l-1}$ . But in this case we can extend the path by 1 by including this neighbor, contradicting the maximality of  $L$ . Now consider the vertex  $v_1$ . By assumption it is connected to at least  $k$  vertices. Since  $L$  is the longest path in  $G$ , all of the neighbors of  $v_1$  belong to this path. Further, since  $v_1$  has degree  $\geq k$ , one of its neighbors  $v_t$  has to be from the set  $\{v_{k+1}, \dots, v_l\}$ . Then  $v_1 \rightarrow v_2 \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow v_t \rightarrow v_1$  forms a cycle of length  $\geq k + 1$ .