

ECOLE POLYTECHNIQUE FEDERALE DE LAUSANNE

School of Computer and Communication Sciences

Handout 8
Homework 8

Statistical Physics for Communication and Computer Science
April 14, 2011, INR 113 - 9:15-11:00

You will implement Belief Propagation (BP) for K-SAT (say $K = 3$ and $K = 4$) in a form presented in *Information, Computation and Physics, by A. Montanari and M. Mézard, pp. 468-473*. There are three aspects to the problem. The first one is to find a convenient parametrization of the BP messages. The second is to investigate numerically the convergence of BP as a function of α (the clause density). The third is to implement a decimation algorithm that finds satisfying assignments for α not too large.

Problem 1 (Belief Propagation Equations for K-SAT). We recall the BP equations in the form

$$\mu_{i \rightarrow a}(x_i) \cong \prod_{b \in \partial i \setminus a} \hat{\mu}_{b \rightarrow i}(x_i), \quad \hat{\mu}_{a \rightarrow i}(x_i) \cong \sum_{\sim x_i} f_a(\{x_k, k \in \partial a\}) \prod_{k \in \partial a \setminus i} \mu_{k \rightarrow a}(x_k)$$

Here the messages are normalized probability distributions and \cong means that the right hand side has to be divided by a normalization factor such that $\mu_{i \rightarrow a}(0) + \mu_{i \rightarrow a}(1) = 1$ and $\hat{\mu}_{a \rightarrow i}(0) + \hat{\mu}_{a \rightarrow i}(1) = 1$. Moreover ∂a is the set of neighbors of a and ∂i is the set of neighbors of i . The function f_a is the indicator function on assignments that satisfy clause a .

The first step is to transform these equations in a more convenient form. For this we need the following notation. Let $J_{ia} = 1$ when variable i appears negated (as \bar{x}_i) in clause a and $J_{ia} = 0$ when variable i appears unnegated (as x_i) in clause a . For a fixed edge ia the value of J_{ia} is fixed. We decompose $\partial i \setminus a$ in two disjoint sets

$$\partial i \setminus a = S_{ia} \cup U_{ia}$$

where $b \in S_{ia}$ iff $J_{ib} = J_{ia}$ and $b \in U_{ia}$ iff $J_{ib} \neq J_{ia}$. Finally we parametrize the messages as $\mu_{i \rightarrow a}(J_{ia}) = \zeta_{i \rightarrow a}$ and $\hat{\mu}_{a \rightarrow i}(J_{ia}) = \hat{\zeta}_{a \rightarrow i}$.

The BP equations are equivalent to

$$\zeta_{i \rightarrow a} = \frac{[\prod_{b \in S_{ia}} \hat{\zeta}_{b \rightarrow i}] [\prod_{b \in U_{ia}} (1 - \hat{\zeta}_{b \rightarrow i})]}{[\prod_{b \in S_{ia}} \hat{\zeta}_{b \rightarrow i}] [\prod_{b \in U_{ia}} (1 - \hat{\zeta}_{b \rightarrow i})] + [\prod_{b \in U_{ia}} \hat{\zeta}_{b \rightarrow i}] [\prod_{b \in S_{ia}} (1 - \hat{\zeta}_{b \rightarrow i})]}$$

$$\hat{\zeta}_{a \rightarrow i} = \frac{1 - \prod_{j \in \partial a \setminus i} \zeta_{j \rightarrow a}}{2 - \prod_{j \in \partial a \setminus i} \zeta_{j \rightarrow a}}$$

Question : go through the derivation, especially if this was not done in detail during class.

Problem 2 (Implementation of BP). You will implement BP according to the flooding (or parallel) schedule. initialize the messages uniformly randomly in $[0, 1]$. One iteration means that you send messages from U_{ia} nodes to clauses and back from clauses to variables. Define the following "convergence criterion": declare that the messages have "converged" if there is an iteration number (time) $t_{\text{conv}}(\delta)$ such that no messages changes by more than δ at $t_{\text{conv}}(\delta)$ (take the smallest such time).

Perform the following experiment. Take 100 K-SAT instances of length say $N = 5000$ and 10000 variables and for each instance implement BP as explained above with $\delta = 10^{-2}$. If the iterations do not converge stop them at a large time say $t_{\text{max}} \approx 1000$. When they

converge, they should do so in a shorter time $t_{\text{conv}}(\delta) < t_{\text{max}}$ that does not change much with N .

Plot as a function of α the empirical probability that the iterations converge. You should see that this probability is large for $\alpha < \alpha_{\text{BP}}$ and drops abruptly around some threshold α_{BP} . For $K = 3$, $\alpha_{\text{BP}} \approx 3.85$ and $K = 4$, $\alpha_{\text{BP}} \approx 10.3$.

Problem 3 (BP guided decimation). Now you will implement the following algorithm for finding SAT assignments. It uses the above BP procedure as a guide to take decisions on how to fix values for the variables. Once a variable has been fixed the K-SAT formula is suitably reduced - this step is called "decimation" - and BP is run again.

- Initialize with a K-SAT formula \mathcal{F} of length N .
- For $n= 1, \dots, N$ do:
 - Run BP on an instance, as in the previous exercise (with the same convergence criterion).
 - If BP does not converge, return "assignment not found" and exit.
 - If BP converges, for each variable j compute its bias (express it in terms of *zeta* variables!)

$$\pi_j = \mu_j(1) - \mu_j(0) = \frac{\prod_{a \in \partial j} \mu_{a \rightarrow j}(1) - \prod_{a \in \partial j} \mu_{a \rightarrow j}(0)}{\prod_{a \in \partial j} \mu_{a \rightarrow j}(1) + \prod_{a \in \partial j} \mu_{a \rightarrow j}(0)}$$

- Pick a variable $j(n)$ that has the largest absolute bias $|\pi_{j(n)}|$.
- If $\pi_{j(n)} \geq 0$ fix $x_{j(n)} = 1$. Otherwise fix $x_{j(n)} = -1$.
- Replace \mathcal{F} by the K-SAT formula obtained by decimating variable $j(n)$.
- End-For
- Return all fixed variables.

Give for several values of α , the empirical success probability of this algorithm when tested over 100 instances. Compare this empirical success probability with the empirical convergence probability of the previous exercise. You should observe that $K = 3$ and $K = 4$ do not behave on the same way. Try to find an approximate threshold α_t beyond which the algorithm does not find SAT assignments.